arXiv:1608.02775v2 [math.MG] 16 Nov 2016

ON SETS WITH FEW DISTINCT DISTANCES

OLIVER ROCHE-NEWTON

Abstract. It is widely believed that point sets in the plane which determine few distinct distances must have some special structure. In particular, such sets are believed to be similar to a lattice. This note considers two different ways to quantify this idea.

Firstly, improving on a result of Hanson [3], it is proven that if $P = A \times A$ with $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ and P determines $O(|A|^2)$ distinct distances, then $|A-A| = O\left(|A|^{2-\frac{2}{11}}\right)$. This result gives further evidence that cartesian products which determine few distinct distances have some additive structure.

Secondly, it is shown that if a set $P \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ of N points determines $O(N/\sqrt{\log N})$ distinct distances, then there exists a reflection $\mathcal{R}$ and a set $P' \subset P$ with $|P'| = \Omega(\log^{3/2} N)$ such that $\mathcal{R}(P') \subset P$. In other words, sets with few distinct distances have some degree of reflexive symmetry.

1. Introduction

Given a set P of N points in $\mathbb{R}^2$, let $d(P) := \{|p-q| : p, q \in P\}$ be the set of distances determined by P.[1] A classical and beautiful problem in discrete geometry is the Erdős distinct distance conjecture, which that states that $|d(P)| = \Omega(N/\sqrt{\log N})$ for all finite $P \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. The problem was resolved up to logarithmic factors in a landmark work of Guth and Katz [2].

A question that remains wide open concerns the possible structure of point sets which determine few distances. It was suggested by Erdős [1] that such a set should have "lattice structure". More precisely, he suggested the conjecture that if $|d(P)|$ is minimal then there exists a line which contains $\Omega(N^{1/2})$ points of P. The conjecture remains wide open; the current best known result establishes that such a set contains $\Omega(\log N)$ points which are supported on a single line[2].

This paper seeks to find other ways to quantify the qualitative idea that sets with few distinct distances are similar to a lattice. One property of lattice point sets is that they are additively structured. A recent paper of Hanson [3] considered the additive properties of points sets of the form $P = A \times A$ with few distinct distances. The main result in [3] was that

$$|d(A \times A)| = \Omega(|A-A||A|^{1/8}),$$

[1]Here $|p-q|$ denotes the Euclidean distance between points p and q.

[2]See the blog post of Adam Sheffer https://adamsheffer.wordpress.com/2014/10/07/few-distinct-distances-implies-many-points-on-a-line/

where

$$A - A := \{a - b : a, b \in A\}$$

is the *difference set* of A.

In particular, Hanson's result shows that if $|d(A\times A)| = O(|A|^2)$ then $|A-A| = O(|A|^{2-\frac{1}{8}})$. This says that if the set $d(A\times A)$ is small then A must have some degree of additive structure. It appears plausible to conjecture that the exponent $2-\frac{1}{8}$ could be replaced by $1+o(1)$. The first aim of this paper is to improve the result of Hanson and make a step in this direction.

Theorem 1. *Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a finite set and let $P = A \times A$. Then*

$$|d(P)| = \Omega(|A - A|^{11/10}).$$

In particular,

$$|d(P)| = O(|A|^2) \Rightarrow |A - A| = O(|A|^{2-\frac{2}{11}}).$$

Although this result is stated in terms of discrete geometry and distance problems, it should perhaps be viewed as a result in additive combinatorics. This is reflected in the notation and tools used for the problem. One can view the Guth-Katz theorem for direct product sets as a sum-product type result. It says that[3] for all finite $A \subset \mathbb{R}$,

$$|(A - A)^2 + (A - A)^2| = \Omega\left(\frac{|A|^2}{\log |A|}\right).$$

Theorem 1 and [3] show that this bound being close to tight implies some additive structure. There is a similarity here with the work of Shkredov [7], who proved the following inverse sum-product result:

$$|(A - A)(A - A)| = O(|A|^2) \Rightarrow |A - A| = O\left(|A|^{2-\frac{1}{5}+o(1)}\right).$$

Another feature of lattice point sets is that they are highly symmetric; there exists a reflection which maps P to itself. Therefore, we might expect that any set which determines few distinct distances is in some sense highly symmetric. More precisely, we might expect that such a set P has the property that there is a large subset $P' \subset P$ and some reflection $\mathcal{R}$ such that $\mathcal{R}(P') \subset P$. The second aim of this paper is to prove the following result in this direction.

Theorem 2. *Let P be a set of N points in $\mathbb{R}^2$ such that $|d(P)| \leq N/K$, where $K > 1$ is some parameter. Then, there exists a subset $P' \subset P$ with $|P'| = \Omega(K^3)$, and some reflection $\mathcal{R}$ such that $\mathcal{R}(P') \subset P$.*

In particular, if $|d(P)| = O(N/\sqrt{\log N})$, then, there exists a subset $P' \subset P$ with $|P'| = \Omega(\log^{3/2} N)$, and some reflection $\mathcal{R}$ such that $\mathcal{R}(P') \subset P$.

[3]See the notation in the next section.

2. Notation and Preliminary results

Throughout this paper, for positive values X and Y the notation $X \gg Y$ is used as a shorthand for $X \geq cY$, for some absolute constant $c > 0$.

Similar to the difference set, the *sum set* of A and the *product set* of A are defined respectively as

$$A + A := \{a + b : a, b \in A\}, \qquad AA = \{ab : a, b \in A\}.$$

The shorthand $2A$ is sometimes used for $A + A$. Similar notation is used for longer combinations of sum and difference set; for example $A + A + A - A - A$ is denoted $3A - 2A$. Sets formed by a combination of additive and multiplicative operations on different sets are also considered. For example, if A, B and C are sets of real numbers, then

$$AB + C := \{ab + c : a \in A, b \in B, c \in C\}.$$

Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ be finite and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. The set of all dilates of A by λ is denoted $\{\lambda\}A$. That is,

$$\{\lambda\}A = \{\lambda a : a \in A\}.$$

The curly brackets here are used to distinguish the dilate $\{2\}A$ from the sum set $2A$. Also, A^2 denotes the set of all squares of A. That is $A^2 := \{a^2 : a \in A\}$.

The proof of Theorem 1 is a modification of the argument of Hanson [3]. The key new idea in [3] was the following lemma:

Lemma 1. *Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ and let $D = A - A$. Then*

$$\{2\}DD \subset 2D^2 - 2D^2.$$

As in [3], we use the following version of Plünnecke-Ruzsa Theorem (see [6]).

Lemma 2. *Suppose A is a finite subset of an additive abelian group. Then*

$$|mA - nA| \leq \left(\frac{|A + A|}{|A|}\right)^{m+n} |A|.$$

We also utilise the following sum-product type result, which follows from the Szemerédi-Trotter. See Exercise 8.3.3 in Tao-Vu [8].

Lemma 3. *Let $A, B, C \subset \mathbb{R}$ be finite sets. Then*

$$|AB + C| \gg (|A||B||C|)^{1/2}.$$

To prove Theorem 2, we require the following weighted version of the Szemerédi-Trotter Theorem. The result can be found in the literature, see for example [4].

Lemma 4. *Let P be a finite set of points in $\mathbb{R}^2$ and let L be a set of weighted lines. Each line $l \in L$ is assigned a weight $w(l)$. Let $W_L = \sum_{l \in L} w(l)$ denote the total weight of L, and let $w_L = \max_{l \in L} w(l)$ be the maximum weight. Then, the number of weighted incidences $I_w(P, L)$ satisfies*

$$I_w(P, L) := \sum_{p \in P,\, l \in L : p \in L} w(l) \ \ll \ (w_L)^{1/3}(|P|W_L)^{2/3} + W_L + w_L|P|. \tag{1}$$

3. Proof of Theorem 1

Let $D = A - A$ and note that $d(A \times A) = D^2 + D^2$. By Lemma 1 and Lemma 2

$$|\{2\}DD + D^2| \leq |3D^2 - 2D^2| \leq \left(\frac{|D^2 + D^2|}{|D^2|}\right)^5 |D^2|.$$

By Lemma 3,

$$|\{2\}DD + D^2| \gg |D|^{3/2}.$$

Combining these two estimates, we have

$$|d(A \times A)| = |D^2 + D^2| \gg |D|^{11/10}$$

as required.

4. Proof of Theorem 2

The proof makes use of some observations from a recent paper of Lund, Sheffer and de Zeeuw [5], which considered structural properties of point sets which determine few distinct distances via studying the perpendicular bisectors determined by P.

We will double count the set of (ordered) isosceles triangles determined by P. That is, the proof proceeds by comparing an upper and lower bound for the quantity

$$T := \{(p, q, s) \in P \times P \times P : |p - s| = |q - s|, p \neq q\}.$$

For the upper bound we use Lemma 4. For two distinct points $p, q \in \mathbb{R}^2$, let $B(p, q)$ denote their perpendicular bisector. Let L be the multiset of perpendicular bisectors determined by P. For $l \in L$, its weight is the number of (ordered) pairs of points from P that determine l as a bisector; that is,

$$w(l) := \{(p, q) \in P \times P : B(p, q) = l\}.$$

Note that $W_L = |P|^2 - |P| < |P|^2$. Note also that $T = I_w(P, L)$. Indeed $(p, q, s) \in T$ if and only if $s \in B(p, q)$. Therefore, it follows from Lemma 4 that

$$|T| \ll w_L^{1/3} N^2 + N^2 \ll w_L^{1/3} N^2. \tag{2}$$

On the other hand, if we denote by $C(s, r)$ the circle with radius[4] r and centre s, then

$$\begin{aligned} |T| &= \sum_{s \in P} \sum_{r \in d(P)} 2\binom{|C(s,r) \cap P|}{2} \\ &\gg \sum_{s \in P} \sum_{r \in d(P)} |C(s, r) \cap P|^2 - \sum_{s \in P, r \in d(P) : |C(s,r) \cap P| \leq 1} 1 \\ &\gg \sum_{s \in P} \sum_{r \in d(P)} |C(s, r) \cap P|^2 - \frac{N^2}{K}. \end{aligned}$$

[4]The possibility that $r = 0$ is not excluded.

Combining this information with (2), we deduce that

$$\sum_{s\in P}\sum_{r\in d(P)}|C(s,r)\cap P|^2 =\ll w_L^{1/3}N^2+\frac{N^2}{K}\ll w_L^{1/3}N^2. \tag{3}$$

Note also that

$$\sum_{s\in P}\sum_{r\in d(P)}|C(s,r)\cap P| = N^2.$$

Therefore, by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} N^4 &= \left(\sum_{s\in P}\sum_{r\in d(P)}|C(s,r)\cap P|\right)^2 \\ &\leq N|d(P)|\sum_{s\in P}\sum_{r\in d(P)}|C(s,r)\cap P|^2 \\ &\ll \frac{N^4 w_L^{1/3}}{K}. \end{aligned}$$

This tells us that $w_L=\Omega(K^3)$. This completes the proof, since there is some perpendicular bisector l such that $l=B(p_i,q_i)$ for $i=1,\dots,k$, $k=\Omega(K^3)$ and with the p_i all distinct. Therefore we can take $P'=\{p_i : 1\leq i\leq k\}$ and $\mathcal{R}$ to be reflection in the line l, and observe that

$$\mathcal{R}(P')=\{q_i : 1\leq i\leq k\}\subset P.$$

5. Acknowledgements

The author was supported by the Austrian Science Fund FWF Project F5511-N26, which is part of the Special Research Program "Quasi-Monte Carlo Methods: Theory and Applications". With thanks to Brandon Hanson and Adam Sheffer for helpful discussions. Much of this work originated from discussions at the IPAM reunion conference for the program "Algebraic Techniques for Combinatorial and Computational Geometry". IPAM is funded by the NSF.

References

[1] P. Erdős, *On some metric and combinatorial geometric problems*. Discrete Math. **60** (1986), 147-152.
[2] L. Guth, N. H. Katz, *On the Erdős distinct distance problem in the plane*. Ann. of Math. (2) **181** (2015), no. 1, 155–190.
[3] B. Hanson, 'The Additive Structure of Cartesian Products Spanning Few Distinct Distances', Preprint arXiv:1607.03442.
[4] A. Iosevich, S. Konyagin, M. Rudnev, M, V. Ten, 'Combinatorial complexity of convex sequences', Discrete Comput. Geom. **35** (2006), no. 1, 143–158.
[5] B. Lund, A. Sheffer and F. de Zeeuw, 'Bisector energy and few distinct distances', Preprint arXiv:1411.6868.
[6] G. Petridis, 'New proofs of Plünnecke-type estimates for product sets in groups', Combinatorica **32** (2012), no. 6, 721-733.

[7] I. Shkredov, 'Difference sets are not multiplicatively closed', Preprint arXiv:1602.02360.
[8] T. Tao, V. Vu. 'Additive combinatorics' *Cambridge University Press* (2006).

O. Roche-Newton: 69 Altenburger Strasse, Johannes Kepler Universität, Linz, Austria

E-mail address: o.rochenewton@gmail.com